

**Record of proceedings dated 04.07.2016**

O. P. No. 88 of 2015

M/s. Exhibition Society Vs Nil

Application filed u/s 94 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking restoration of the original petition for exemption from license under Section 13 of Electricity Act, 2003

Sri. Srinivasa Rao. Putluri, Advocate representing Sri. O. Manohar Reddy, Counsel for the petitioner is present. The advocate requested for an adjournment stating that the counsel for the petitioner is unable to attend hearing, due to pre-occupation and therefore, the matter is required to be adjourned to any other date more particularly on a Saturday after 11.07.2016.

The Commission while expressing displeasure that the matter was earlier dismissed for default for non-prosecution, still the petitioner is not pursuing the matter properly. The matter is adjourned on the condition that the Counsel for the petitioner shall be present to argue the matter without fail, hence, the Commission will be having no option, but to dismiss the petition for non-prosecution, as such, on the next date of hearing no adjournment for whatever reason will not be granted.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Call on 25.07.2016  
At 11.00 AM  
Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 90 of 2015

M/s Lodha Healthy Construction and Developers Private Limited Vs TSSPDCL & Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and to punish the Licensee u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. Challa. Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Smt. Priya Iyengar, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner and the counsel for the respondents submitted their detailed arguments in the matter with regard to implementation of the order of the Ombudsman and compliance of the same.

The Commission having heard the arguments of the Counsel for the parties reserved it's order and directed the counsel for parties to file their written submissions respectively. The counsel for the petitioner shall file the same by 11.07.2016 and furnish a copy to the counsel for the respondent. The counsel for the respondents shall file a reply submission by 15.07.2016 with a copy to the counsel for the petitioner.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 91 of 2015

M/s. Sanathnagar Enterprises Ltd. vs TSSPDCL & Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and to punish the licensee u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. Challa. Gunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Smt. Priya Iyengar, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for Respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner and the counsel for the respondents submitted their detailed arguments in the matter with regard to implementation of the order of the Ombudsman and compliance of the same.

The Commission having heard the arguments of the Counsel for the parties reserved it's order and directed the counsel for parties to file their written submissions respectively. The counsel for the petitioner shall file the same by 11.07.2016 and furnish a copy to the counsel for the respondent. The counsel for the respondents shall file a reply submission by 15.07.2016 with a copy to the counsel for the petitioner.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 94 of 2015

And

I. A. No. 3 of 2016

And

I. A. No. 4 of 2016

M/s MLR Industries Private Limited vs TSSPDCL & Officers

Petition filed for drawing of energy pumped from the solar project during day time to be utilized as banked energy between 12 AM and 6 Am

Petitioner filed an I. A. seeking for fixing of early date by advancing the hearing of the main case from 15.06.2016 (I. A. No. 3 of 2016)

Petitioner filed an I. A. seeking interim orders for utilising the solar power generated by it during night (I. A. No. 4 of 2016).

Sri. M. Mohan Rao Counsel for the petitioner and Smt. Priya Iyengar, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner and the counsel for the respondents submitted their detailed arguments in the matter with regard to allowing banking of energy generated by the petitioner to be drawn and utilise by it during non-peak hours for the manufacturing activity of the petitioner.

The Commission having heard the arguments of the Counsel for the parties reserved it's order and directed the counsel for parties to file their written submissions respectively. The counsel for the petitioner shall file the same by 11.07.2016 and furnish a copy to the counsel for the respondent. The counsel for the respondents shall file a reply submission by 16.07.2016 with a copy to the counsel for the petitioner.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

R. P. No. 1 of 2016  
IN  
O. P. No. 11 of 2015

M/s. SLT Power & Infrastructure Projects Private Limited vs Govt. of Telangana,  
TSTRANSCO, TSSPDCL & NREDCAP

Petition seeking review of the Commission Order dated 27.01.2016 under section 94 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. G. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy representative of the petitioner and Smt. Priya Iyengar, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner sought adjournment as the counsel for the petitioner is not available for submissions. The Advocate pointed out that no notice has been received from the Commission till date. Hence, she sought adjournment so as to enable her client to obtain a copy and respond to the petition.

The Commission while seeking to know the reason for review petition being filed, also required the petitioner to explain as to what is the anomaly in the order sought to be reviewed, however, granted adjournment without any date. The Commission required

the petitioner to inform the Commission about operating plant, then only hearing will be taken up on the review petition.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. No. 4 of 2016

State Load Despatch Centre of Telangana State, TSTRANSCO vs TSGENCO,  
SLDC and Southern Regional Power Committee, CEA, Bangalore

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking compliance of the provisions of Indian Electricity Grid Code (IEGC) regarding Restricted Governor Mode Operation (RGMO) / Free Governor Mode Operation (FGMO) as provided in Clauses 5.2 (f), (g), (h) and (i) of the IEGC.

Sri P. Suresh Babu, Superintending Engineer (SLDC) Representative of TSSLDC and Sri S. Laxmi Narayana Representative of TSGENCO are present. The representatives of the parties explained and submitted technical aspects apart from stating the issue raised in the petition filed pursuant to directions of the Central Commission has been resolved substantially following discussions between themselves. Hence, it is represented that the petition may be closed.

The Commission has directed both the parties to file written submissions with regard to the issue being settled inter se between them and why the petitioner is not inclined to prosecute the matter further. It is also directed that the petitioner's representative shall place before the Commission the status of compliance of the issue raised in the petition by monitoring the technical aspects for another week. The written submissions shall be filed on or before 15.07.2016 and upon such filing, the matter will be treated as reserved for orders.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Chairman

O. P. (SR) No. 5 of 2016

And

I. A. No. 6 of 2016

M/s. REI Power Bazaar Private Limited vs Nil, TSDISCOMs, TSTRANSCO and TSGENCO added by the Commission.

M/s. IEX Limited vs M/s. REI Power Bazaar Private Limited, TSDISCOMs, TSTRANSCO and TSGENCO added by the Commission.

Petition filed seeking to establish power market (power exchange) in the state of Telangana u/s 86 (1) (k) read with section 66 of Electricity Act, 2003.

Petition in I A filed by for impleading M/s. IEX Limited as party respondent in the petition

Sri. Anirban Mondal, Assistant Manager (Legal) representative of the petitioner, Sri E. Naga Aditya Representative of the implead petitioner and Smt. Priya Iyengar, Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the petition is filed for establishment of power market to be operated within the state of Telangana. The petition may be admitted and it will place before the Commission the details of establishment and the amount of energy that may be traded upon establishment. The Advocate for the respondents sought further time as their clients have not yet received copy of the petition. The Representative of the implead petitioner stated that the petitioner in the said petition has filed I.A. for impleading. The implead petitioner sought to see the petition and also contest the matter with regard to necessity of allowing a power market.

The Commission adjourned the hearing at the request of all the parties as the petitioner is required to file further information for taking a decision on entertaining the petition or otherwise in terms of Section 66 of the Electricity Act, 2003 since the Commission is required to encourage competition.

Sd/-  
Member

Sd/-  
Member

Call on 25.07.2016  
At 11.00 AM  
Sd/-  
Chairman